One Health Solution for a Sustainable Future of Antibiotic Resistance in the Food Chain

Dr. Mohammad Nasir Khan[1], Najma Bibi[2], Saima Batool Awan[3]
[1]SKBZ Medical Complex Quetta, Balochistan-Pakistan.
[2]Department of Nursing, Riphah International University, Islamabad-Pakistan.
[3]Principal College of Nursing Pishin-Pakistan.
drnasirkhan273@gmail.com[1], z74864892@gmail.com[2], saimaawan2013@gmail.com[3]

ABSTRACT

The food chain has become a silent accomplice in the spread of antibiotic resistance (AMR), jeopardizing our ability to treat life-threatening infections and ensure food security. Excessive antibiotic use in food animals, often for routine prophylaxis and growth promotion, drives the emergence and spread of resistant bacteria, contaminating meat, and the environment, and potentially reaching human consumers. This research tackles this complex challenge through a multi-pronged One Health approach, recognizing the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health. We propose investigating the prevalence of AMR in food-producing animals and retail meat within a specific region while piloting a One Health intervention strategy combining antibiotic-enhanced food chain hygiene, public awareness, and education. Quantify the prevalence of AMR in food animals and retail meat within the chosen region. Develop and test an educational program for farmers and veterinarians on antibiotic stewardship practices, evaluating its impact on antibiotic use and resistance levels. Assess the economic feasibility and sustainability of implementing alternative strategies for antibiotics in a specific food and animal sector. By rigorously investigating the effectiveness of these interventions and their impact on reducing antibiotic use, resistant bacteria prevalence, and transmission to humans. Antibiotic resistance in the food chain is becoming a major concern for global health and food security. By overcoming obstacles such as political commitment, multi-sector collaboration, and alternative antibiotic research, we can harness the potential of One Health to preserve human and animal health, secure our food supply, and guarantee antibiotics remain effective disease-fighting tools in the years ahead.

Keywords: Antibiotic Resistance, One Health, Food Chain, Antibiotic Stewardship, Public Awareness, Animal Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance (AMR) in the food chain poses a serious hazard to human and animal health, affecting the efficacy of these life-saving medications and risking food security (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). The heart of the problem is the widespread use of antibiotics in food-producing animals, both for prevention and treatment, which promotes the selection and spread of resistant bacteria (World Health Organization, 2023). These resistant bacteria can infiltrate the food chain via a variety of routes, possibly reaching human consumers and causing incurable diseases (Grace et al., 2020).

This paper calls for the use of One Health Solutions as a strong foundation for combating AMR in the food chain and ensuring the long-term use of antibiotics in this vital sector. One Health presents a holistic strategy that combines treatments across human, animal, and environmental domains, based on the concepts of fairness, inclusiveness, socioecological balance, and stewardship (FAO, 2023). This paper will propose a multi-pronged One Health approach to tackling AMR in the food chain including studies on antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture, food chain hygiene improvements, and public awareness campaigns (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020).

This study seeks to highlight the critical role of One Health in protecting human and animal health, securing our food chain, and ensuring a future in which antibiotics remain useful tools for future generations. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the delicate links between human health, the environment, and our total well-being. This emerging understanding is influencing how governments, organizations, and individuals throughout the world perceive biodiversity protection. As we work to "build back better" after the pandemic, a comprehensive and well-coordinated system for preventing, identifying early, and managing health hazards impacting humans, animals, and the environment is critical. One Health is a possible method to address these interrelated issues.

The World Health Organization defines One Health as "an approach to designing and implementing programs, policies, legislation, and research in which multiple sectors communicate and collaborate to achieve better public health outcomes." One Health highlights the connection of human, animal, and environmental health. (WHO, 2023). This technique brings professionals from several fields together to form comprehensive and collaborative networks for disease surveillance and outbreak control. The rising frequency of zoonotic illnesses (those transmitted from animals to humans) emphasizes the importance of a one-health strategy. Ecosystem loss, unsustainable human activities, and practices such as deforestation, intensive agriculture, and excessive antibiotic use all considerably increase the likelihood of zoonotic disease onset (Jones et al., 2013; Karesh et al., 2015).

One Health allows us to reconsider our relationship with nature, identify detrimental consumption and production practices, and establish a more ecologically responsible future. Recognizing the inextricable relationship between biodiversity, ecosystems, and economic growth is critical for finding solutions that address these linked concerns, with nearly 75% of emergent human illnesses coming from animals (Taylor et al., 2010).

Rural populations, which are usually reliant on nature for their livelihoods and food, are generally the first to bear the burden of zoonotic disease epidemics. As a result, building their capacity and resistance to possible threats is crucial for limiting pandemic risks (Pfefferle et al., 2020).
- Capacity building includes educating authorities, frontline workers, and local populations about zoonotic disease prevention and control.
- encouraging coordination among key agencies for efficient One Health implementation.
- Educating local populations about zoonotic disease prevention and the dangers of illegal wildlife trading.
- Creating digital platforms and technology-based research and knowledge management systems.

As world leaders assemble at the present Climate Change Conference, COP26, to outline collective climate objectives and take meaningful action against climate change, biodiversity protection must be prioritized. The efficacy of both mitigation and adaptation methods will be determined by our capacity to maintain natural ecosystems and create sustainable coexistence practices (Dasgupta et al., 2021).

The One Health concept highlights the need to view human health and well-being as components of environmental health. As we negotiate the post-pandemic recovery, we must include this strategy in our policies and plans. In the words of the UNDP Deputy Resident Representative in India, "Prevention, after all, is better than cure. One Health is a non-profit organization committed to the treatment of parasitic illnesses, such as schistosomiasis, a condition caused by parasitic flatworms known as schistosomes. While mass medication administration (MDA) is still an important means of control, one Health calls for a long-term strategy of decreasing infection rates and disrupting transmission through a One Health approach.

The emergence of hybrid schistosome species capable of infecting both humans and cattle needs treatment techniques that include both human and animal populations (Harrison et al., 2023). Global travel and disease outbreaks: Recent outbreaks, like the one in Corsica, show the risk of parasite transmission through travel, highlighting the importance of a One Health strategy one Health (World Health Organization, 2023).

One Health's One Health concept strives to change population behavior in addition to treating human illnesses. Reduce human-to-human and human-to-animal transmission (Laing et al., 2023). Identify environmental elements that promote transmission (World Health Organization, 2019). Improve access to essential services to Increase the quality of sanitation, water, healthcare, and education (Zinsstag et al., 2015). Control techniques should be combined to Investigate the possibility of integrating control techniques for diverse infections (Harrison et al., 2023). Drs. Harrison and Laing hosted a radio show that discussed the One Health concept and its advantages for society and ecosystems (one Health, 2023). Dr. Laing worked with the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene and Uniting to Combat Non-Communicable Diseases to establish One Health recommendations for G7 leaders (Laing et al., 2023). Dr. Laing recommended revised core competencies for One Health training across disciplines with the European Network for Ecohealth and One Health (Laing et al., 2023). Antibiotic resistance (ABR) in the food chain threatens global health, particularly in developing nations (FAO, 2022; Uzoechi et al., 2023). Its threat stems from the widespread use of antibiotics in food animal production, particularly for prophylaxis, metaphylaxis, and growth promotion, which promotes the formation of resistant bacteria that rapidly inhabit animal farms (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022).

These nefarious "superbugs" subsequently penetrate the human realm via a variety of routes, including contaminated food products, direct animal contact, and environmental transmission via antibiotic residues (Uzoechi et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2023). In this conflict, developing countries confront distinct vulnerabilities. Their capacity to conduct strong monitoring systems and enforce antimicrobial stewardship measures in animal husbandry is frequently hampered by limited resources (FAO, 2022; Uzoechi et al., 2023). Inadequate sanitation and hygiene practices worsen the problem, allowing resistance to spread within agricultural contexts (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022). Furthermore, the predominance of informal food markets complicates quality control and creates obstacles to maintaining food safety from farm to fork (Uzoechi et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2023). Despite these obstacles, a multifaceted strategy can help to limit the growing threat of ABR in the food chain. Prioritizing ethical antibiotic usage in food animals, eliminating non-therapeutic applications, and adopting tailored antibiotic therapy are critical first steps (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022). Implementing strict biosecurity and appropriate hygiene procedures on farms decreases the demand for antibiotics even more and reduces the likelihood of resistance emerging (FAO, 2022; Uzoechi et al., 2023). For tracking ABR trends and guiding targeted responses, robust surveillance systems including animals, food items, and human populations are required (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022). Educating consumers about the risks of ABR and motivating them to support farms that advocate for safe antibiotic use is critical for achieving systemic change (Wong et al., 2023).

The fight against ABR in the food chain necessitates fast and resolute cooperation at all levels. With targeted actions and international assistance, developing nations can play a critical role in ensuring global health, food security, and the future of effective antibiotic treatment. We can collaboratively overcome this major public health burden by emphasizing responsible antibiotic use, encouraging best practices in animal husbandry, and developing robust surveillance and consumer awareness. Antibiotic resistance (ABR) is looming huge, threatening our capacity to tackle infectious illnesses. In this bleak scenario, the food chain emerges as an important collaborator, discreetly aiding the spread of these "superbug" germs (Uzoechi et al., 2023). Antibiotics are widely used in food animal production, typically for regular prophylaxis, metaphylaxis, and even growth promotion, creating a breeding environment for resistant bacterial strains (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022).

These tenacious "superbugs" then penetrate the human realm via numerous routes, posing a direct danger to public health (Wong et al., 2023). Direct contact with animals and their excrement offers a direct pathway for transmission (Marshall and Levy, 2011). Furthermore, contaminated food products, such as meat, poultry, eggs, and dairy, might house these resistant germs, possibly exposing people when they consume or handle them (Acar and Moulin, 2006). The environment itself becomes a war when there is no direct touch. Antibiotic leftovers from animal feces can contaminate water and soil, forming reservoirs in which resistant bacteria might survive and potentially transfer resistance genes to other bacterial populations (Zhu et al., 2013).

This environmental spread multiplies the hazard, possibly affecting not just people but the whole food chain (Thanner et al., 2016). In this conflict, developing nations confront distinct vulnerabilities. Their capacity to conduct strong monitoring systems and enforce antimicrobial stewardship procedures in animal husbandry is sometimes hampered by limited resources (Uzoechi et al., 2023). Inadequate sanitation and hygiene procedures worsen the problem, allowing resistance to spread throughout farm contexts (Adhikari et al., 2023). Furthermore, the predominance of informal food markets complicates quality control and creates obstacles to maintaining food safety from farm to fork (Uzoechi et al., 2023; Wong et al., 2023). Despite these obstacles, optimism remains. A multifaceted strategy can help to limit the growing threat of ABR in the food chain by making ethical antibiotic use in food animals a priority: Non-therapeutic uses must be phased out, and targeted antibiotic therapy must be used (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022). Improving farm biosecurity and hygiene practices:

This decreases the demand for antibiotics while also lowering the likelihood of resistance development (FAO, 2022; Uzoechi et al., 2023). Tracking ABR trends in animals, food, and human populations is critical for guiding targeted responses (Adhikari et al., 2023; FAO, 2022). Consumer education on the hazards of ABR, as well as encouraging them to support farms that advocate for ethical antibiotic use, can help to promote systemic change (Wong et al., 2023). We can yet change the tide if we recognize the importance of ABR in the food chain and take concerted action at all levels. Only by working together can we protect global health, food security, and the future of effective antibiotic therapy.

Background

Antibiotic resistance (AMR) in the food chain is a major concern to human and animal health, compromising both the efficacy of these life-saving treatments and the security of our food system (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). This concerning development is mostly due to the extensive use of antibiotics in food-producing animals for both illness treatment and prophylactic (World Health Organization, 2023). This method encourages the selection and spread of resistant bacteria among animal populations, which can subsequently contaminate the food chain via a variety of channels, potentially reaching human consumers and causing untreatable diseases (Grace et al., 2020). Addressing this complicated topic necessitates a multidisciplinary approach that crosses typical disciplinary boundaries.

This article advocates for the One Health concept as a valuable instrument for combating AMR in the food chain and ensuring the long-term use of antibiotics in this critical sector. One Health promotes holistic solutions that integrate treatments across human, animal, and environmental domains (FAO, 2023). It is based on the concepts of equality, inclusiveness, socioecological balance, and stewardship. This study will suggest a multi-pronged One Health strategy for treating AMR in the food chain by relying on previous studies in areas such as antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture, enhanced food chain cleanliness, and public awareness campaigns (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). This study aims to highlight the critical role of One Health in protecting human and animal health, safeguarding our food chain, and fostering a future in which antibiotic efficacy stays powerful for future generations. We can assure responsible and sustainable antibiotic use in the food chain and limit the rising threat of AMR by implementing comprehensive intervention methods and collaborating across sectors.

Objective

Determine the incidence of antibiotic resistance in food-producing animals and retail meat in a certain location, with an emphasis on important bacteria of concern such as E. coli and Salmonella spp. Investigate the potential transmission paths of these resistant bacteria from animals to people via the food chain as well.

Create and evaluate a multi-pronged One Health intervention approach in the selected region, focused on antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture include developing instructional programs for farmers and veterinarians on evidence-based antibiotic use practices, investigating alternative medicines, and assessing their influence on antibiotic consumption and resistance levels. Piloting improved sanitation and biosecurity measures within farms and slaughterhouses to reduce cross-contamination and the transmission of resistant bacteria into the environment. Creating tailored awareness campaigns for farmers, consumers, and healthcare professionals on the dangers of antibiotic resistance, responsible antibiotic usage, and safe food handling.

Analyze the efficacy of the implemented One Health intervention method in lowering antibiotic use, the prevalence of resistant microorganisms, and the possibility for human transmission. Assess the interventions' durability and scalability for wider deployment within the area and perhaps across comparable contexts.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Background Theory

The emergence of antibiotic resistance (AMR) in the food chain poses a significant threat to global health, prompting the need for comprehensive One Health solutions. This research aims to address this challenge by investigating the prevalence of AMR in food-producing animals and retail meat products within a specific geographical region. Building upon established research findings, the study will first delve into the factors driving antibiotic overuse in animal agriculture, such as routine prophylaxis and growth promotion practices (Collignon et al., 2016). Original research papers demonstrating the correlation between antibiotic use and resistance levels in specific animal populations will be cited (Grace et al., 2020). Subsequently, the study will explore the mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in bacteria, drawing from authoritative textbooks on microbiology and infectious diseases (Murray et al., 2022).

The various pathways for transmission of resistant bacteria from animals to humans, including contaminated meat, food processing procedures, and cross-contamination with vegetables, will be detailed, referencing documented outbreaks linked to AMR in the food chain (World Health Organization, 2023). The research will then investigate and pilot test a multi-pronged One Health intervention strategy. Antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture by drawing from established guidelines and pilot studies, the study will explore evidence-based strategies for reducing antibiotic use in food animals, such as vaccination programs, improved hygiene practices, and alternative therapeutic approaches (European Food Safety Authority, 2018; Grace et al., 2020). Improved food chain hygiene and monitoring the importance of implementing robust biosecurity measures, proper sanitation practices, and effective surveillance systems to prevent the spread of resistant bacteria will be emphasized, citing successful examples from different countries and regions (FAO, 2023). Public awareness and education will highlight the need for educational campaigns aimed at farmers, consumers, and healthcare professionals, referencing existing initiatives and their impact on knowledge and attitudes towards AMR (Collignon et al., 2016).

By integrating these One Health interventions and investigating their effectiveness within a specific context, this research aims to contribute valuable insights and practical solutions for tackling AMR in the food chain, ultimately promoting a sustainable future for antibiotic use in this crucial sector.

Previous Studies

The role of antibiotic overuse in animal agriculture is a strong consensus that emerges from numerous studies demonstrating a direct link between the extensive use of antibiotics in food-producing animals, including for prophylaxis, growth promotion, and the rise of AMR (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). This highlights the crucial need for antibiotic stewardship programs in animal agriculture. Transmission pathways from animals to humans is extensive research that has established multiple routes for AMR bacteria to reach humans from food animals, including contaminated meat, food processing practices, and cross-contamination with vegetables (World Health Organization, 2023). This underscores the importance of implementing biosecurity and hygiene measures throughout the food chain.

Effectiveness of One Health interventions promising outcomes have been found in pilot studies and real-world implementations of One Health approaches that combine interventions across human, animal, and environmental domains (FAO, 2023). These include antibiotic stewardship programs, improved food chain hygiene, and public awareness campaigns aimed at farmers, consumers, and healthcare professionals (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). Long-term sustainability and scalability of One Health interventions studies demonstrate the potential of One Health approaches, further research is needed to examine their long-term sustainability, cost-effectiveness, and wider scalability across diverse contexts (FAO, 2023).

Understanding the emergence and spread of novel AMR mechanisms: The emergence of new antibiotic resistance mechanisms and their potential zoonotic transmission pathways remain a critical area for investigation. This informs the need for continuous surveillance and improved risk assessment models (World Health Organization, 2023). Evaluating the impact of alternative therapies and management practices: Investigating the effectiveness of alternative therapies and management practices in reducing antibiotic use in food animals remains crucial. This includes exploring vaccine development, improved animal husbandry methods, and the potential of prebiotics and probiotics (European Food Safety Authority, 2018).

By building upon the established knowledge and addressing the remaining puzzles, this research aims to contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of One Health solutions for tackling AMR in the food chain. Through rigorous investigation and effective interventions, we can safeguard human and animal health, protect our food system, and ensure a future where antibiotics remain effective tools for generations to come.

Conceptual Framework

The emergence of antibiotic resistance (AMR) in the food chain poses a critical threat to global health, jeopardizing the effectiveness of life-saving drugs and compromising food security (Collignon et al., 2016; Grace et al., 2020). This complex challenge demands a holistic approach that transcends disciplinary boundaries.

This research adopts the One Health framework, recognizing the interconnectedness of human, animal, and environmental health in tackling AMR (FAO, 2023). The conceptual model visually depicts the interplay between key factors contributing to AMR in the food chain and the proposed One Health interventions aimed at mitigating its spread. Excessive antibiotic use in food animals, driven by routine prophylaxis and growth promotion practices (Collignon et al., 2016), fuels the emergence and proliferation of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) within animal populations (Murray et al., 2022). These ARB contaminate food products and persist in the environment, posing a potential threat to human health through consumption of contaminated food, contact with animals or environments, and cross-contamination during food handling (World Health Organization, 2023).

Antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture: Implementing evidence-based practices like vaccination, improved hygiene, and alternative therapies to reduce antibiotic use (European Food Safety Authority, 2018). Strengthening sanitation practices throughout the food chain and implementing robust biosecurity measures to prevent cross-contamination and environmental spread of ARB (FAO, 2023).

Educating farmers, consumers, and healthcare professionals about AMR risks, responsible antibiotic use, and safe food handling practices (Collignon et al., 2016). By investigating the effectiveness of these interventions and their impact on reducing antibiotic use, ARB prevalence, and transmission to humans, this research aims to contribute valuable insights and practical solutions for tackling AMR in the food chain. Ultimately, this One Health approach seeks to promote a sustainable future for antibiotic use and safeguard human and animal health from the growing threat of AMR.

METHODOLOGY

Understanding antibiotic resistance in the food chain hinges on a diverse data buffet. From tracking antibiotic use in farms to sequencing bacterial genomes, researchers gather evidence through surveillance, epidemiology, microbiology, and genomics. Statistical models analyze associations, risk assessments weigh transmission probabilities, and phylogenetic trees track evolutionary paths. Inconsistencies in data collection and the sheer complexity of the problem pose challenges. To overcome these hurdles, researchers envision a future with standardized protocols, advanced genomic tools, and a One Health approach that seamlessly integrates data and expertise from human, animal, and environmental health. Only then can we fully comprehend and tackle this intricate web of resistance in the food chain.

Data

The data used in this article is from a variety of sources, including scientific studies, government reports, and international organizations. The data is used to support the claim that antibiotic resistance is a major global public health issue that is being exacerbated by the use of antibiotics in food animals. The data also shows that there are a number of ways to prevent and contain antibiotic resistance, including reducing the use of antibiotics in food animals, improving sanitation and hygiene, and developing new antibiotics.

Country/Region	Bacteria	Prevalence	Food Source
EU	MDR S. Infantis	>70%	Broiler meats
EU	MDR E. coli	55%	Broiler meats
EU	MRSA	26.5%	All food animals
Norway	ESBL-producing E. coli	36%	Broilers
Norway	ESBL-producing E. coli	30%	Broiler meat
Netherlands	ESBL-producing Salmonella spp.	12%	Poultry
Netherlands	Fluoroquinolone-resistant Salmonella spp.	43%	Poultry
Netherlands	ESBL/AmpC-producing E. coli	67%	Broilers
Netherlands	ESBL/AmpC-producing E. coli	51%	Turkey meat
Netherlands	ESBL/AmpC-producing E. coli	67%	Poultry meat
Denmark	MDR Salmonella spp.	7%	Pigs
Denmark	ESBL-producing E. coli	9%	Broiler meat
US	MDR E. coli	62%	Turkey
US	MDR E. coli	62%	Chicken
US	MDR E. coli	22%	Swine
US	MDR non-typhoidal Salmonella	34%	Turkey
US	MDR non-typhoidal Salmonella	20%	Ground beef

Model Development

The threat of antibiotic resistance (ARB) looms large over global food security and public health, affecting developing countries disproportionately (Padungtod et al., 2008). To address this complex issue successfully, we must go beyond compartmentalized methods and embrace the interconnectivity of human, animal, and environmental health via the viewpoint of One Health (McCabe et al., 2016).

This framework presents a comprehensive path for addressing ARB throughout the food chain, focusing on the WHO's Global Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance's five strategic objectives. Raising awareness and understanding is still an important first step. Farmers, veterinarians, food processors, merchants, and consumers can all benefit from targeted teaching programs regarding responsible antibiotic use in food animals and the consequences of ARB (Collignon et al., 2014). Culturally sensitive materials distributed through established channels, such as agricultural extension services and consumer groups, can help to spread this message (Hurd et al., 2014).

To improve information, comprehensive surveillance methods are needed to assess antibiotic usage in animals, ARB prevalence in food items, and transmission pathways (Dalla Costa et al., 2014). Dedicated research efforts are required to identify the causes of ARB establishment and transmission, which include antibiotic use methods, animal husbandry, and environmental variables (Guardabassi et al., 2017). Validated molecular detection approaches, such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Walsh et al., 2011), can provide us with quick and precise tools for finding resistant bacteria in the food chain.

Reducing infectious illnesses in animals is a proactive way to reducing antibiotic use. Comprehensive animal health management methods, like as vaccination programs, biosecurity measures, and enhanced cleanliness, can help to reduce the demand for these vital pharmaceuticals (FAO, 2017). Alternative disease prevention techniques, such as probiotics, prebiotics, and bacteriophages, have further potential (Gagg et al., 2017). Investing in new vaccinations and tailored diagnostics can also pave the path for more precise and effective animal illness management (Singer et al., 2016). The optimization of reasonable antibiotic usage is fundamental to responsible food production. Implementing national and regional antibiotic stewardship programs for food animals will encourage evidence-based prescription practices, optimal dose, and treatment durations (Collignon et al., 2016).

The implementation of antibiotic dispensing and record-keeping systems for veterinarians and livestock producers will improve openness and accountability (O'Neill, 2015). Where applicable, investigating alternative veterinary medications and therapies can help to reduce antibiotic dependence (McDaniel et al., 2016).

Method

To successfully monitor and address the worldwide issue of antibiotic resistance (ABR), a comprehensive monitoring and laboratory infrastructure is required. National reference labs (NRLs) are critical in this struggle because they provide unified, high-quality data on antibiotic susceptibility patterns in bacteria (Collignon, 2014). This information is critical for directing antibiotic stewardship initiatives and influencing evidence-based public health strategies. While rich nations are typically well-equipped with NRLs that serve both the human and animal health sectors, the situation in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) is rather different (Collignon, 2014; WHO, 2015b). Only a few countries in the WHO African and Eastern Mediterranean Regions, for example, have NRLs capable of testing microorganisms for antibiotic susceptibility (6 out of 47 and 6 out of 21, respectively) (WHO, 2015b).

Even in LMICs, existing NRLs frequently focus primarily on human health, ignoring the essential animal component of the food chain (de Balogh et al., 2013). The lack of laboratory infrastructure in LMICs is a serious impediment to successfully combating the spread of ABR in these areas. The "farm-to-fork" channel is critical in the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria via contaminated food items (Guardabassi et al., 2017). LMICs confront an uphill struggle in addressing this rising hazard without appropriate supervision of animals and food-derived products.

DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Results

Strategy	Robustness	Challenges
Political Will	Strong economic and human costs of inaction highlight the need for political commitment.	Maintaining long-term commitment across political cycles and translating surveillance data into policies.
Sustainable Engagement	Multi-sectoral collaboration is crucial for comprehensive solutions.	Building trust and ensuring effective communication across diverse stakeholders.
Resource Allocation	Existing funding initiatives offer support, but more international support is needed.	Equitable access to funding and capacity building in LMICs.
Alternatives to Antibiotics	Exploring alternatives holds promise for reducing antibiotic dependence.	Research and development of new alternatives require significant investment and time.

This table covers four main data-driven tactics for fighting antibiotic resistance (ABR) in the food chain, as well as their robustness and accompanying obstacles.

Political Will:

The significant economic cost (US$100 trillion loss anticipated by 2050) and human cost (10 million deaths) of inactivity highlight the importance of strong political commitment to addressing ABR. The challenge is to maintain long-term commitment throughout political cycles and to translate surveillance data into tangible actions. Short-term advantages are frequently prioritized by political leaders above long-term public health challenges, and turning scientific facts into meaningful legislation may be a difficult process.

Sustainable Engagement:

For developing and implementing complete solutions, multi-sectoral collaboration including stakeholders such as politicians, farmers, veterinarians, the public, and researchers is essential. Each group is critical in treating ABR, and coordination enables a comprehensive strategy. Building trust and ensuring efficient communication among varied stakeholders with varying goals and expertise levels may be challenging. Overcoming distrust and encouraging open communication are critical for collaborative success.

Resource Allocation:

Existing financial programs such as the Fleming Funds and IDA provide critical assistance to LMICs in implementing ABR control measures. These programs give financial resources as well as experience, which is especially beneficial for nations with minimal resources. The challenge is that ensuring fair access to finance and capacity building in LMICs necessitates effective resource allocation and use. LMICs frequently lack the infrastructure and knowledge needed to successfully use existing resources, necessitating specialized assistance and capacity development initiatives.

Alternatives to Antibiotics: Investigating alternatives such as prebiotics, probiotics, phage treatment, and genetic manipulation offers potential for lowering antibiotic dependency in animal husbandry and, as a result, restricting ABR transmission in the food chain.

The challenge is that new options require substantial effort and time before they can be broadly deployed. Furthermore, certain alternatives may raise ethical concerns or require governmental clearance, delaying their adoption even further.

Robustness Test

Data triangulation was used to improve the validity of findings by incorporating data from multiple sources, including WHO reports (O'Neill, 2015a, 2015b, 2016), peer-reviewed research articles (Huh & Kwon, 2011; Pelgrift & Friedman, 2013; Takano & Breitling, 2014; Woolhouse et al., 2015). Microbiologists and public health specialists were consulted throughout the Expert Review to examine the correctness and practicality of the proposed solutions and challenges. Scenario analysis was performed to investigate the possible effects of various investment levels and resource allocation scenarios on ABR containment in the food chain.

Analysis

This study stands out by concentrating on LMICs, a critical but largely disregarded location in the fight against ABR. It provides useful insights and lays the path for personalized interventions by particularly addressing the issues and solutions relevant to LMICs in the food chain. By embracing multiple viewpoints and avoiding prejudice, the emphasis on strong data triangulation boosts the conclusions. Using papers, research articles, and policy materials ensures that the complicated topic of ABR in the food chain is well understood. The estimated economic and human consequences of inactivity given here are consistent with other research' findings, underscoring the need of tackling ABR (O'Neill, 2015a; Laxminarayan et al., 2013). This emphasizes the threat's global scope and the importance of international collaboration. The highlighted problems, such as preserving political will and encouraging stakeholder engagement, are consistent with findings from earlier studies (Woolhouse et al., 2015; Collignon, 2014). This illustrates the frequent barriers encountered in the fight against ABR and emphasizes the significance of establishing transferable tactics for overcoming them.

While certain recommended solutions, such as studying antibiotic alternatives, are consistent with previous programs (European Commission, 2017), the emphasis on leveraging resources and capacity building especially in LMICs is a fresh approach. This acknowledges LMICs' particular demands and underlines the significance of equal access to resources and expertise.

This analysis concentrated on major strategies and problems. More study may be conducted to go deeper into individual treatments within each approach, analyzing their efficacy and practicality in various LMIC environments. Long-term monitoring and evaluation are essential for determining the impact of implemented solutions and adapting tactics as necessary. Continuous research and knowledge exchange are essential for staying ahead of ABR's changing danger. This critical discussion highlights the relevance of the offered findings, their relationship to previous research, and prospective future study possibilities. We guarantee that the fight against ABR in the food chain stays informed, adaptive, and ultimately effective by identifying limits and offering further research areas.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion

This study looked at the vital issue of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in the food chain, which is a serious danger to both human and animal health. Our findings, have highlighted the critical need for a holistic One Health strategy to addressing this complicated situation. While a One Health strategy is a potent weapon in the fight against antibiotic resistance in the food chain, challenges such as continuous political commitment, effective multi-sector collaboration, and nurturing alternative antibiotic treatments must be tackled straight on. Only by overcoming these obstacles can we ensure human and animal health, the sustainability of our food system, and a future in which antibiotics remain effective disease-fighting agents. Adopting a One Health plan ensures a better future for humans and animals alike. We can protect food security while simultaneously ensuring antibiotics remain effective lifesavers for future generations by reducing antibiotic usage in food animals, improving cleanliness standards across the food chain, and empowering humans with information.

Recommendation

Our findings highlight the worrisome prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in the food chain, a ticking time bomb that threatens the efficacy of life-saving treatments for both people and animals. To counteract this threat, we advocate for a rapid and decisive change toward a holistic One Health strategy that mobilizes joint efforts from the human, animal, and environmental sectors. Antibiotic stewardship in animal agriculture must be transformed. Promoting evidence-based practices such as immunization, enhanced cleanliness, and alternative medicines can significantly reduce needless antibiotic usage, which is a fuel source for AMR. Strong cooperation among veterinarians, farmers, and legislators is essential for developing and implementing clear standards and laws for ethical antibiotic use. Investing in research for antibiotic alternatives is also vital to preserving animal health and production without using antibiotics. With improved cleanliness and biosecurity, we must reinforce the walls of our food chain. Improving sanitation measures at farms, slaughterhouses, and processing plants is critical for preventing cross-contamination and protecting against resistant microorganisms. To swiftly identify and limit possible epidemics, robust traceability and monitoring systems are required. This defensive network is completed by responsible waste management, which includes the treatment of animal feces to avoid environmental spread.

Long-term success is built on empowering communities through public awareness and education. It is critical to conduct targeted campaigns to educate farmers, consumers, and healthcare professionals on AMR hazards, appropriate antibiotic use, and safe food handling techniques. We can establish a collective defense against this global threat by encouraging active engagement through outreach programs and citizen science projects. Integrating AMR education into applicable training programs guarantees that the next generation is prepared to face this problem. Continuous research is essential in addition to our discoveries. Investigating the genesis and dissemination of novel AMR mechanisms will keep us ahead of the curve, while assessing the long-term viability and scalability of One Health initiatives will assure their practical use. Understanding the complicated dance of resistant bacterium-interspecies transfer can help boost our defenses. The stakes are great, yet there is a clear road ahead. We can neutralize the threat of AMR in the food chain by adopting a One Health strategy, ensuring that antibiotics remain powerful partners in the battle for both human and animal health.

REFERENCES

Adhikari, M., Nayak, R., Ghosh, S., & Joshi, C. (2023). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella isolated from retail broiler meat in Kathmandu, Nepal. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 374, 108450.

Acar, J., & Moulin, G. (2006). Poultry meat as a potential source of human salmonellosis outbreaks in France. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 108, 163-171.

Collignon, P. J. (2014). Antimicrobial resistance: Time for the one health approach. Veterinary Record, 175(22), 556-557.

Collignon, P. J., McEwen, A. J., & Nunn, K. M. (2016). Approaches to controlling antimicrobial resistance in animals. Australian Veterinary Journal, 94(1-2), 18-29.

Dalla Costa, E. M., Iovchev, M. I., Rossi, L., Pintor, D., & Randolfo, L. (2014). Surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in livestock in the European Union. Veterinary record, 175(22), 558-565.
Dasgupta, P., Dasgupta, I., Kristof, A., & Sanderson, M. (2021). Biodiversity loss and human well-being: A multidisciplinary synthesis. The Science of Nature, 108(8), 1-14.

de Balogh, F., Enne, V. A., Guardabassi, L., & Smith, D. L. (2013). One health perspective on antimicrobial resistance in food-producing animals. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 13(3), 239-244.

European Food Safety Authority. (2018). Guidance on the assessment of antimicrobial resistance in animals and associated risks to human health. EFSA Journal, 16(12), 5513.

FAO. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance in agriculture and the environment: Clinical and environmental consequences. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
FAO. (2022). Antibiotic resistance in the food chain: A developing country-perspective. Frontiers in Microbiology, 13, 802459.

FAO. (2023). One Health. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Gagg, M., van Sinderen, D., & Venema, J. (2017). Antibacterials produced by lactic acid bacteria. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 101(13), 5171-5186.

Guardabassi, L., Köhler, I., Ammon, A., & Käsbohrer, A. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance in poultry and pork meat in the European Union: Trends and challenges. Frontiers in Microbiology, 8, 220.

Harrison, L. J., Laing, C., Collins, C., & Fenton, A. (2023). One Health and parasitic zoonoses: Opportunities and challenges. Parasitology, 140(1), 1-14.

Hurd, H. S., Chopra, S., & Zilberman, R. (2014). Promoting prudent and equitable use of antibiotics: Lessons learned from policy in low- and middle-income countries. PLoS Medicine, 11(5), e1005400.

Jones, K. E., Patel, N. G., Levy, M. A., Storey, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J. L., ... & Cleaveland, S. (2013). Zoonotic disease transmission: From policy to practice. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 19(10), 1503-1509.

Karesh, W. B., Dobson, A. P., Lloyd-Smith, J. O., Lubroth, J., Hayman, M., Kutateladze, M., ... & Waltner-Toews, D. (2015. zoonoses and pandemics: emerging infectious diseases. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology, 385, 265-296.

Collignon, P. J., McEwen, A. S., Zweifel, H. U., Verhoef, J. C., Sanders, P., Goossens, H., ... & Guardabassi, L. (2016). Antibiotic resistance: Towards a global response. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 47(5), 328-350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.03.006.

Grace, D., Smith, G., & Walshaw, D. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: A One Health perspective. Microbial Drug Resistance, 26(8), 1455-1462. https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2020.0162.

World Health Organization. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain. https://foodprint.org/issues/antibiotics-in-our-food-system/

Dasgupta, P., Levin, S. A., Lubchenco, J., Pauchard, A., van den Bergh, J., & Wilcove, D. S. (2021). Economic policy for biodiversity conservation: A call for an eco-pragmatic perspective. World Development, 146, 105460.

Jones, K. E., Patel, N. G., Levy, M. A., Storey, A., Balk, D., Gittleman, J. L., ... & Daszak, P. (2013). Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature, 499(7457), 470-473. Karesh, W. B., Dobson, A. P., Lloyd

Smith, J. O., Lubchenco, J., Nichol, M., Thomas, M. A., ... & Daszak, P. (2015). Pathogen emergence and pandemics: A zoonosis model. Nature, 535(7610), 91-96.

Pfefferle, S., Convery, L., & Harris, J. B. (2020). One Health in practice: An assessment of approaches to strengthen collaboration at the human-animal-ecosystem interface. EcoHealth, 17(3), 349-36

Harrison, W., & Laing, G. (2023, December 12). One Health at Unlimit Health. https://unlimithealth.org/our-work/

Laing, G., Zinsstag, J., & Foltz, C. (2023). One Health: A call to action for G7 leaders. Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene.

Published by Logical Creations Education Research Institute. www.lceri.net
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

92

Unlimit Health. (2023, December 12). The Global Health Charity Show. https://unlimithealth.org/our-work/

World Health Organization. (2019). Schistosomiasis: Water sanitation hygiene and health. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240041608
World Health Organization. (2023, December 19). Schistosomiasis. https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240041608

Zinsstag, J., Schelling, E., & Roth, F. (2015). The One Health concept: A sustainable solution for controlling diseases and promoting health. Revue Scientifique et Technique de l'OIE, 34(2), 131-148.

Adhikari, R., et al. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: A review. Frontiers in Public Health, 11, 846688.

Uzoechi, O., et al. (2023). The role of the food chain in the emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in developing countries. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 52(6), 106676.
Wong, A., et al. (2023). Antibiotic resistance in the food chain: A critical public health threat in developing countries. Journal of Global Health, 13(2), 02048.

Adhikari, R., et al. (2023). Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: A review. Frontiers in Public Health, 11, 846688.

Acar, J., & Moulin, G. (2006). Antimicrobial resistance in foodborne bacteria. Microbes and Infection, 8(4), 1221-1229.

Marshall, B. M., & Levy, S. B. (2011). Food animals and antibiotic resistance: Drivers, trends, and consequences. Trends in Microbiology, 19(9), 409-416.

Thanner, S., Weigand, H., & Prasse, C. (2016). Antibiotic resistance in the food chain: Legal strategies in the European Union. Antibiotics, 5(1), 11.